

[USCM] Submission Acknowledgement**Uncertain Supply Chain Management** <editor.uscm@gmail.com>

Sat, Aug 15, 2020 at 11:19 AM

To: **Ahmad Hidayat Sutawidjaya** <a.h.sutawidjaya@mercubuana.ac.id>

Ahmad Hidayat Sutawidjaya:

Thank you for submitting the manuscript, "*Life cycle assessment: Study linkage between environment supply chain management and sustainability of supply chain*" to UNCERTAIN SUPPLY CHAIN MANAGEMENT.

With the online journal management system that we are using, you will be able to track its progress through the editorial process by logging in to the journal web site:

Submission URL: https://growingscience.com/uscm/send_article_submit.html

Username: sutawidjaya

If you have any questions, please contact me. Thank you for considering this journal as a venue for your work.

Editor in Chief

UNCERTAIN SUPPLY CHAIN MANAGEMENT

[USCM] Revision request**Uncertain Supply Chain Management** <editor.uscm@gmail.com>

Tue, Aug 18, 2020 at 9:47 AM

To: **Ahmad Hidayat Sutawidjaya** <a.h.sutawidjaya@mercubuana.ac.id>

Ahmad Hidayat Sutawidjaya:

The paper "*Life cycle assessment: Study linkage between environment supply chain management and sustainability of supply chain*" has been preliminarily reviewed.

Reviewers have given their comments on your paper. Please do the following when you resubmit your revised version:

- (i) All corrections as per the reviewers' comments and prepare a table / response letter showing corrections done. Your corrections will not be accepted in the absence of this response letter / table.
- (ii) All authors' names, emails and affiliations checked and corrected.

Please ensure the submission of the revision within 1 month of receiving this mail either both as a reply to this mail and in the online system.

The paper can be resubmitted for a review after huge improvements, and this does not guarantee it will be approved.

If you have any questions, please contact me. Thank you for considering this journal as a venue for your work.

Editor in Chief

UNCERTAIN SUPPLY CHAIN MANAGEMENT**Reviewer A**

The paper *Life cycle assessment: Study linkage between environment supply chain management and sustainability of supply chain* discusses the significant impacts of corporate operations on the environment and society, with a focus on supply chains, particularly in the context of Indonesia. The paper touches on various important topics, including climate change, waste management, sustainability, and the use of Life Cycle Assessment (LCA) in supply chain management. Here are some suggestions for the authors.

1. The paper is quite dense and somewhat disorganized, making it challenging to follow the main points. It could benefit from a clearer structure and better organization. Important concepts are introduced, such as climate change and waste management, but they are somewhat scattered throughout the text.
2. The paper effectively highlights the relevance of environmental issues in today's world, particularly in the context of climate change and waste management. However, it lacks a clear statement of the research problem, objectives, or hypotheses that the study aims to address. A well-defined research question or goal would improve the overall focus of the paper.
3. The paper mentions data related to population growth and economic indicators in Indonesia. While this data is relevant to the topic, it lacks a clear connection to the main research focus on supply chain management and sustainability. Integrating this information more coherently into the narrative could enhance the paper's overall impact.
4. The paper mentions sources such as KLHK, the Ministry of Industry, and the Central Bureau of Statistics, but there are no direct citations or references. It's important to provide proper

citations to support the claims made in the paper.

5. The paper outlines the importance of addressing environmental issues in supply chain management but does not explicitly state the specific contributions or findings of the research. It should briefly mention what the study aims to contribute to the field of supply chain management in the context of sustainability.

Reviewer B

The paper contains essential elements related to environmental issues, supply chain management, and sustainability but could benefit from a clearer structure, better organization, improved clarity, and a more explicit statement of research objectives and findings. It's essential to ensure that the paper effectively communicates the significance of the research and its potential contributions to the field. Here are more detailed comments for authors.

1. The literature review section covers a wide range of relevant concepts and definitions related to LCA, SCM, SSCM, and Environmental Supply Chain Management. It provides a solid foundation for readers who may be less familiar with these topics. The section generally maintains a good level of clarity and flow. However, there are opportunities for improvement in terms of how concepts are presented. For example, the section on LCA could be structured more clearly with a brief introduction, followed by key components and applications.
2. The research hypotheses presented at the end of the section are relevant and provide a clear direction for the study. They help the reader understand the research questions that will be addressed in the study. It would be beneficial to provide a clear transition at the end of the literature review section to connect it to the next part of the paper, such as the methodology or empirical findings. This would enhance the overall coherence of the paper.
3. The Research Design and Data Collection section clearly outlines the research approach, indicating that the research is exploratory in nature and that survey techniques were employed for data collection. This is a sound method for the research objectives. However, there is limited information provided regarding the survey design, data collection process, and the specific questions or items included in the survey. Providing more details on the questionnaire's structure, variables, and the process of data collection would enhance the transparency of the study.
4. The Hypothesis Testing: section presents the results of hypothesis testing, including the path coefficient values, t-statistics, and p-values. This is important for understanding the relationships between the variables. However, there is room for improvement in the discussion of the results. Providing more context and interpretation for each hypothesis test would make the findings more accessible to a broader audience. Explain the practical implications of the results and how they contribute to the existing knowledge in the field.
5. The conclusion section summarizes the key findings of the study effectively. However, it could benefit from a more detailed discussion of the practical implications and the contribution of the study to the field of supply chain management and sustainability. How do these findings inform decision-making or strategies in the industry? The recommendations and future research section offers valuable suggestions for further research and practical improvements. This is a strong point, as it highlights the potential for future work based on the study's findings.

[USCM] Revision Submission Acknowledgement**Uncertain Supply Chain Management** <editor.uscm@gmail.com>

Mon, Aug 24, 2020 at 12:06 PM

To: **Ahmad Hidayat Sutawidjaya** <a.h.sutawidjaya@mercubuana.ac.id>

Ahmad Hidayat Sutawidjaya:

Thank you for submitting revision of the manuscript, "*Life cycle assessment: Study linkage between environment supply chain management and sustainability of supply chain*" to UNCERTAIN SUPPLY CHAIN MANAGEMENT.

With the online journal management system that we are using, you will be able to track its progress through the editorial process by logging in to the journal web site:

Submission URL: https://growingscience.com/uscm/send_article_submit.html

Username: sutawidjaya

If you have any questions, please contact me. Thank you for considering this journal as a venue for your work.

Editor in Chief

UNCERTAIN SUPPLY CHAIN MANAGEMENT

Response to Reviewer 1

Sr. No.	Reviewer's Comment	Response
	<p>The paper Life cycle assessment: Study linkage between environment supply chain management and sustainability of supply chain discusses the significant impacts of corporate operations on the environment and society, with a focus on supply chains, particularly in the context of Indonesia. The paper touches on various important topics, including climate change, waste management, sustainability, and the use of Life Cycle Assessment (LCA) in supply chain management. Here are some suggestions for the authors.</p>	<p>Thank you for your feedback on the paper.</p>
1	<p>The paper is quite dense and somewhat disorganized, making it challenging to follow the main points. It could benefit from a clearer structure and better organization. Important concepts are introduced, such as climate change and waste management, but they are somewhat scattered throughout the text.</p>	<p>Dear reviewer, done as suggested throughout the paper.</p>
2	<p>The paper effectively highlights the relevance of environmental issues in today's world, particularly in the context of climate change and waste management. However, it lacks.</p>	<p>Many thanks dear reviewer. We have added a clear statement of the research problem, objectives, or hypotheses that the study aims to address. Please see page 4</p>
3	<p>The paper mentions data related to population growth and economic indicators in Indonesia. While this data is</p>	<p>Dear reviewer, thankyou. Done as suggested. Please see pages 15-17</p>

	relevant to the topic, it lacks a clear connection to the main research focus on supply chain management and sustainability. Integrating this information more coherently into the narrative could enhance the paper's overall impact.	
4	The paper mentions sources such as KLHK, the Ministry of Industry, and the Central Bureau of Statistics, but there are no direct citations or references. It's important to provide proper citations to support the claims made in the paper.	Dear reviewer, Thank you. We have now provided proper citations to support the claims made in the paper. Please see pages 11-13
5	The paper outlines the importance of addressing environmental issues in supply chain management but does not explicitly state the specific contributions or findings of the research. It should briefly mention what the study aims to contribute to the field of supply chain management in the context of sustainability.	Dear reviewer, Thank you. Done as suggested. Please see pages 14-15

Response to Reviewer 2

Sr. No.	Reviewer's Comment	Response
	<p>The paper contains essential elements related to environmental issues, supply chain management, and sustainability but could benefit from a clearer structure, better organization, improved clarity, and a more explicit statement of research objectives and findings. It's essential to ensure that the paper effectively communicates the significance of the research and its potential contributions to the field. Here are more detailed comments for authors.</p>	<p>Many thanks respected reviewer for your kind remarks and suggestions. It really helped us to improve our paper.</p>
1	<p>The literature review section covers a wide range of relevant concepts and definitions related to LCA, SCM, SSCM, and Environmental Supply Chain Management. It provides a solid foundation for readers who may be less familiar with these topics. The section generally maintains a good level of clarity and flow. However, there are opportunities for improvement in terms of how concepts are presented. For example, the section on LCA could be structured more clearly with a brief introduction, followed by key components and applications.</p>	<p>Many thanks for your kind suggestions. Done as suggested. Please see pages 5-14</p>
2	<p>The research hypotheses presented at the end of the section are relevant and provide a clear direction for the study. They help the reader understand the research</p>	<p>Dear reviewer, following your kind guidelines. Done as suggested. Please see pages 7-14</p>

	<p>questions that will be addressed in the study. It would be beneficial to provide a clear transition at the end of the literature review section to connect it to the next part of the paper, such as the methodology or empirical findings. This would enhance the overall coherence of the paper.</p>	
3	<p>The Research Design and Data Collection section clearly outlines the research approach, indicating that the research is exploratory in nature and that survey techniques were employed for data collection. This is a sound method for the research objectives. However, there is limited information provided regarding the survey design, data collection process, and the specific questions or items included in the survey. Providing more details on the questionnaire's structure, variables, and the process of data collection would enhance the transparency of the study.</p>	<p>Dear reviewer, Thank you for your kind suggestions. Done as suggested. Please see pages 15-17</p>
4	<p>The Hypothesis Testing: section presents the results of hypothesis testing, including the path coefficient values, t-statistics, and p-values. This is important for understanding the relationships between the variables. However, there is room for improvement in the discussion of the results. Providing more context and interpretation for each hypothesis test would make the findings more accessible to a broader audience. Explain the</p>	<p>Respected reviewer, Thank you. Done as suggested. Please see pages 17-20</p>

	practical implications of the results and how they contribute to the existing knowledge in the field.	
5	The conclusion section summarizes the key findings of the study effectively. However, it could benefit from a more detailed discussion of the practical implications and the contribution of the study to the field of supply chain management and sustainability. How do these findings inform decision-making or strategies in the industry? The recommendations and future research section offers valuable suggestions for further research and practical improvements. This is a strong point, as it highlights the potential for future work based on the study's findings.	Thank you, dear reviewer. Done as suggested. Please see pages 25-26

[USCM] Revision request**Uncertain Supply Chain Management** <editor.uscm@gmail.com>

Mon, Aug 31, 2020 at 11:22 AM

To: **Ahmad Hidayat Sutawidjaya** <a.h.sutawidjaya@mercubuana.ac.id>

Ahmad Hidayat Sutawidjaya:

The paper "*Life cycle assessment: Study linkage between environment supply chain management and sustainability of supply chain*" has been reviewed again.

The authors have done a good job. Here are some minor improvement suggestions.

1. The paper contains some grammatical issues and awkward phrasing that can make it difficult to understand at times. For instance, "surface of the book" is likely a typographical error that needs correction. Improved language and clarity would make the paper more reader friendly.
2. The paper is somewhat lengthy, which could be seen as a disadvantage. Papers are typically meant to be brief summaries of the research, and this one contains a substantial amount of information. To improve conciseness, focus on the most critical aspects of the research and provide a clear, concise summary.
3. The literature review section references various authors and studies to support the concepts presented. However, it would be beneficial to include specific citations for each statement or concept introduced. Proper referencing adds credibility to the literature review. The inclusion of Figure 1 (General Principles of Life Cycle Assessment) and Figure 2 (Research Framework) is a helpful visual representation of the concepts discussed. It aids in understanding the relationships between these concepts.

Please ensure the submission of the revision within 1 month of receiving this mail either both as a reply to this mail and in the online system.

The paper can be resubmitted for a review after huge improvements, and this does not guarantee it will be approved.

If you have any questions, please contact me. Thank you for considering this journal as a venue for your work.

Editor in Chief

UNCERTAIN SUPPLY CHAIN MANAGEMENT

Universitas

Esa Unggul

[USCM] Revision Submission Acknowledgement**Uncertain Supply Chain Management** <editor.uscm@gmail.com>

Tue, Sep 08, 2020 at 1:50 PM

To: **Ahmad Hidayat Sutawidjaya** <a.h.sutawidjaya@mercubuana.ac.id>

Ahmad Hidayat Sutawidjaya:

Thank you for submitting revision of the manuscript, "*Life cycle assessment: Study linkage between environment supply chain management and sustainability of supply chain*" to UNCERTAIN SUPPLY CHAIN MANAGEMENT.

With the online journal management system that we are using, you will be able to track its progress through the editorial process by logging in to the journal web site:

Submission URL: https://growingscience.com/uscm/send_article_submit.html

Username: sutawidjaya

If you have any questions, please contact me. Thank you for considering this journal as a venue for your work.

Editor in Chief

UNCERTAIN SUPPLY CHAIN MANAGEMENT

Response to Reviewers

Sr. No.	Reviewer's Comment	Response
	The authors have done a good job. Here are some minor improvement suggestions.	Many thanks, Respected Reviewer, for your kind appreciation.
1	The paper contains some grammatical issues and awkward phrasing that can make it difficult to understand at times. For instance, "surface of the book" is likely a typographical error that needs correction. Improved language and clarity would make the paper more reader friendly.	Many thanks for your kind comments. Done as suggested.
2	The paper is somewhat lengthy, which could be seen as a disadvantage. Papers are typically meant to be brief summaries of the research, and this one contains a substantial amount of information. To improve conciseness, focus on the most critical aspects of the research and provide a clear, concise summary.	Many thanks for your kind comments. Done as suggested throughout the paper.
3	The literature review section references various authors and studies to support the concepts presented. However, it would be beneficial to include specific citations for each statement or concept introduced. Proper referencing adds credibility to the literature review. The inclusion of Figure 1 (General Principles of Life Cycle Assessment) and Figure 2 (Research Framework) is a helpful visual representation of the concepts discussed. It aids in understanding the relationships between these concepts.	Many thanks for your kind comments. Done as suggested. Please see pages 4-12 and 16

[USCM] Acceptance Acknowledgment**Uncertain Supply Chain Management** <editor.uscm@gmail.com>

Fri, Oct 09, 2020 at 12:43 PM

To: **Ahmad Hidayat Sutawidjaya** <a.h.sutawidjaya@mercubuana.ac.id>

Ahmad Hidayat Sutawidjaya:

Congratulations!

Your paper entitled, "*Life cycle assessment: Study linkage between environment supply chain management and sustainability of supply chain*" has been accepted for publication in UNCERTAIN SUPPLY CHAIN MANAGEMENT (Vol. 9, 2021).

Thank you for your interest in our journal. Your Journal paper would be indexed in Scopus (Elsevier), Google Scholar, Scirus, GetCited, Scribd, so on. We look forward to receiving your subsequent research papers.

Editor in Chief

UNCERTAIN SUPPLY CHAIN MANAGEMENT